

1 XXXXX
2 OCCI-WG

Augusto Ciuffoletti, Università di Pisa
Thijs Metsch, Platform Computing
Andy Edmonds, Intel
September 22, 2014
Updated: September 24, 2014

6 Open Cloud Computing Interface - Notification Extension

7 Status of this Document

8 This document provides information to the community regarding the specification of the Open Cloud Com-
9 puting Interface. Distribution is unlimited.

10 Copyright Notice

11 Copyright © Open Grid Forum (2009-2011). All Rights Reserved.

12 Trademarks

13 OCCI is a trademark of the Open Grid Forum.

14 Abstract

15 This document, part of a document series, produced by the OCCI working group within the Open Grid Forum
16 (OGF), provides a high-level definition of a Protocol and API. The document is based upon previously gathered
17 requirements and focuses on the scope of important capabilities required to support modern service offerings.

Contents

18	Contents	
19	1 Introduction	3
20	2 Notational conventions	3
21	3 Motivations	3
22	4 OCCI notification	3
23	4.1 The Notify mixin	4
24	4.2 The Notification link	4
25	5 Application notes and an example	4
26	6 Security issues	5
27	7 Glossary	6
28	8 Intellectual Property Statement	6
29	9 Disclaimer	7
30	10 Full Copyright Notice	7

1 Introduction

The Open Cloud Computing Interface (OCCI) is a RESTful Protocol and API for all kinds of management tasks. OCCI was originally initiated to create a remote management API for IaaS¹ model-based services, allowing for the development of interoperable tools for common tasks including deployment, autonomic scaling and monitoring. It has since evolved into a flexible API with a strong focus on interoperability while still offering a high degree of extensibility. The current release of the Open Cloud Computing Interface is suitable to serve many other models in addition to IaaS, including PaaS and SaaS.

In order to be modular and extensible the current OCCI specification is released as a suite of complimentary documents, which together form the complete specification. The documents are divided into three categories consisting of the OCCI Core, the OCCI Renderings and the OCCI Extensions.

- The OCCI Core specification consists of a single document defining the OCCI Core Model. The OCCI Core Model can be interacted through *renderings* (including associated behaviours) and expanded through *extensions*.
- The OCCI Rendering specifications consist of multiple documents each describing a particular rendering of the OCCI Core Model. Multiple renderings can interact with the same instance of the OCCI Core Model and will automatically support any additions to the model which follow the extension rules defined in OCCI Core.
- The OCCI Extension specifications consist of multiple documents each describing a particular extension of the OCCI Core Model. The extension documents describe additions to the OCCI Core Model defined within the OCCI specification suite.

TODO: replace with 1.2, note backwards compatibility. define new set of docs for 1.2 below...

2 Notational conventions

All these parts and the information within are mandatory for implementors (unless otherwise specified). The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [1].

3 Motivations

It is often the case that an entity changes during its lifetime: for instance a Compute resource experiences a transient at the beginning of its lifetime during startup [2].

We want to give the provider the tools to allow the user to define entities such that their changes are observable. The specifications for such tools are the premises for an interoperable notification framework.

We introduce an OCCI Extension that allows the user to differentiate an OCCI resource that produces notifications, and how such notifications are visible to other OCCI Resources.

4 OCCI notification

The way to define a property of an OCCI entity is to associate a mixin to it. So the straightforward way to assert that an entity is one whose changes are observable is by associating a mixin that is related with this property. We call this mixin **Notify**.

To define where a notification is directed the user defines an OCCI Link of a definite subkind, that is called **Notification**.

¹Infrastructure as a Service

4.1 The Notify mixin

Table 1. The immutable model attributes of the **Notify** mixin. The base URL <http://schemas.ogf.org/occi> has been replaced with `<schema>` in this table for a better reading experience.

Term	Scheme	Title	Attributes	Actions	Depends	Applies
Notify	<code><schema>/notification#</code>	Notify Mixin	<code>{}</code>	<code>{}</code>	<code>{}</code>	<code><schema>/core#Resource</code>

The provider that supports the OCCI Notification extension MUST implement the **Notify** mixin for each provided entity kind.

There is no capability associated with the **Notify** mixin: it is a *tag*.

4.2 The Notification link

Table 2. The immutable model attributes of the **Notification** category. The base URL <http://schemas.ogf.org/occi> has been replaced with `<schema>` in this table for a better readability experience.

Term	Scheme	Title	Attributes	Actions	Parent
Notification	<code><schema>/notification#</code>	Notification Link	<code>{}</code>	<code>{}</code>	<code><schema>/core#Link</code>

The target of a **Notification** is a generic Resource, while the source MUST be associated with the **Notify** mixin.

The instantiation of an **Notification** whose source is not associated with a **Notify** mixin fails and an error is raised.

If the **Notification** mixin associated with a Resource is removed, all outgoing **Notification** links are silently removed.

There is no capability associated with the **Notification**.

According with the core model [3], the resource that is the source of **Notifications** is able to discover all such links through the *links* property: this is not true for the target of the link.

According with the core model [3], the removal of the source of an **Notification** link determines the removal of the link itself: the same is not true for the target.

5 Application notes and an example

From the user perspective, the application of the **Notify** corresponds to enabling the access to the dynamic content of a resource. This is significant for a Resource that is changing in time.

The **Notify** alone does not specify which changing aspect is in fact notified, and how. This specification may be made explicit with a further mixin, or may be left implicit as in the following example.

From the provider perspective the association of an **Notify** is reflected in the implementation of the functionalities needed to observe and render the change. This operation is guided by the Resources that are targets of the **Notification**.

The way in which notifications are used falls outside the scope of this document: as a general rule, they are used for monitoring and management. Such aspects can be defined by the user with mixins associated with the **Notification**, or in the Resource targeted by the **Notification**.

The following example illustrates a use case where the explicit description of the capabilities of the involved entities is not required.

99 A provider offers a Resource of type *3-out-of-k*, that keeps in the *active* state 3 of the Compute
100 resources from which it receives notifications.

101 The user that wants to take advantage of this service instantes a *3-out-of-k* Resource *3ook*, and
102 associated an **Notify** to each of the *k* Compute resources $C_{1..k}$. For each of them a **Notification**
103 link N_i is instantiated that originates from C_i and targets *3ook*.

104 The schema is portable across any platform that offers the OCCI-infrastructure and OCCI-notification, and
105 that provides a *3-out-of-k* Resource type.

106 Distinct providers may interoperate, for instance if one provides the *3-out-of-k* Resource and another the
107 Compute resources, provided that an agreement exists between the two that allows cross provider information
108 transfer.

109 6 Security issues

110 The OCCI Notification specification is an extension to the OCCI Core and Model specification [3]; thus the
111 same security considerations as for the OCCI Core and Model specification apply here.

7 Glossary

Term	Description
Action	An OCCl base type. Represents an invocable operation on a Entity sub-type instance or collection thereof.
Attribute	A type in the OCCl Core Model. Describes the name and properties of attributes found in Entity types.
Category	A type in the OCCl Core Model and the basis of the OCCl type identification mechanism. The parent type of Kind.
capabilities	In the context of Entity sub-types capabilities refer to the OCCl Attributes and OCCl Actions exposed by an entity instance .
Client	An OCCl client.
Collection	A set of Entity sub-type instances all associated to a particular Kind or Mixin instance.
Entity	An OCCl base type. The parent type of Resource and Link.
entity instance	An instance of a sub-type of Entity but not an instance of the Entity type itself. The OCCl model defines two sub-types of Entity, the Resource type and the Link type. However, the term <i>entity instance</i> is defined to include any instance of a sub-type of Resource or Link as well.
Kind	A type in the OCCl Core Model. A core component of the OCCl classification system.
Link	An OCCl base type. A Link instance associates one Resource instance with another.
Mixin	A type in the OCCl Core Model. A core component of the OCCl classification system.
mix-in	An instance of the Mixin type associated with an <i>entity instance</i> . The “mix-in” concept as used by OCCl <i>only</i> applies to instances, never to Entity types.
model attribute	An internal attribute of a the Core Model which is <i>not</i> client discoverable.
OCCl	Open Cloud Computing Interface.
OCCl base type	One of Entity, Resource, Link or Action.
OCCl Action	see Action.
OCCl Attribute	A client discoverable attribute identified by an instance of the Attribute type. Examples are <code>occi.core.title</code> and <code>occi.core.summary</code> .
OCCl Category	see Category.
OCCl Entity	see Entity.
OCCl Kind	see Kind.
OCCl Link	see Link.
OCCl Mixin	see Mixin.
OGF	Open Grid Forum.
Resource	An OCCl base type. The parent type for all domain-specific Resource sub-types.
resource instance	See <i>entity instance</i> . This term is considered obsolete.
tag	A Mixin instance with no attributes or actions defined.
template	A Mixin instance which if associated at instance creation-time pre-populate certain attributes.
type	One of the types defined by the OCCl Core Model. The Core Model types are Category, Attribute, Kind, Mixin, Action, Entity, Resource and Link.
concrete type/sub-type	A concrete type/sub-type is a type that can be instantiated.
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier.
URL	Uniform Resource Locator.
URN	Uniform Resource Name.

8 Intellectual Property Statement

The OGF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the

extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the OGF Secretariat.

The OGF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this recommendation. Please address the information to the OGF Executive Director.

9 Disclaimer

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "As Is" basis and the OGF disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to any warranty that the use of the information herein will not infringe any rights or any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.

10 Full Copyright Notice

Copyright © Open Grid Forum (2009-2014). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the OGF or other organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Grid Recommendations in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OGF Document process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the OGF or its successors or assignees.

References

- [1] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119 (Best Current Practice), Internet Engineering Task Force, Mar. 1997. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- [2] T. Metsch and A. Edmonds, "Open Cloud Computing Interface – Infrastructure," GFD-P-R.184, April 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://ogf.org/documents/GFD.184.pdf>
- [3] R. Nyrén, A. Edmonds, A. Papaspyrou, and T. Metsch, "Open Cloud Computing Interface – Core," GFD-P-R.183, April 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://ogf.org/documents/GFD.183.pdf>