

Interoperability Testing for The GridRPC API Specification

Status of This Document

This document provides information to the Grid community regarding the testing of interoperability for implementation of the GridRPC API specification. It does not define any standards or technical recommendations. Distribution is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright © Open Grid Forum (2006-2007). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document proposes a process of interoperability testing of the GridRPC API specification (GFD-R.52), which is submitted to the Global Grid Forum (GGF) recommendation track. Three independent implementations from different code bases, Ninf-G, GridSolve and DIET, are used for showing sufficient successful operational experiences. A strong belief that the specification is mature and will be useful is indicated through all the results of the test suites.

Contents

Abstract.....	1
1. Introduction.....	2
1.1 Ninf-G.....	2
1.2 GridSolve.....	2
1.3 DIET.....	2
2. Proposed Process.....	3
3. Testing.....	3
3.1 Initializing and Finalizing Functions.....	3
3.2 Remote Function Handle Management Functions.....	3
3.3 GridRPC Call Functions.....	4
3.4 Wait Functions.....	5
3.5 Probe Functions.....	6
3.6 Cancel Functions.....	7
3.7 Error Reporting Functions.....	7
4. Security Considerations.....	8
5. Conclusion.....	8
6. Contributors.....	8
7. Intellectual Property Statement.....	9
8. Disclaimer.....	9
9. Full Copyright Notice.....	10
10. References.....	10
Appendix A: Undefined behaviors.....	11
A.1 Lifetime of the session ID.....	11
A.2 Destruction of the function handle.....	11
A.3 Initialization of the function handle.....	12
Appendix B: Test suites.....	12

1. Introduction

The initial GridRPC API specifications of the GridRPC Working Group have been submitted to the GGF Recommendation process [GFD-R.52]. This document describes a process by which it is proposed to test the specifications for interoperability, as required in [GFD.1]. In general, "interoperable" means to be functionally equivalent or interchangeable components of the system or process in which they are used. However, there is a following statement in Section 3.2 of GFD-R.52.

- Interoperability between Implementations. *Since this document focuses on the GridRPC API, it says nothing about the protocols used to communicate between clients, servers, and registries. Hence, it does not address interoperability.*

The GFD-R.52 defines a GridRPC model and client APIs. The defining APIs is intended to share a GridRPC client program between each GridRPC implementations, and it is tremendous useful for application users. In this document, therefore, the purpose of the interoperability test is to prove the specification is mature and useful enough to share the client program among GridRPC-compliant systems. In particular, if the client APIs is implemented correctly on each GridRPC system, according to the specification, and if the specification does not leave ambiguity or impossibility for middleware developers are confirmed. The interoperability test covers all functions of the GridRPC API specification, meaning that the test is equal to the conformance test of the GridRPC API.

The document is specific to the GridRPC API specifications in two respects:

1. The nature of the interoperability required. Implementations of the specifications do not call themselves or each other. As such, the requirement is only to test client interoperability: that multiple implementations of a specification provide consistent results to test suites.
2. The challenges identified for designers of a comprehensive test regime, which follow from certain features of the specifications.

The GridRPC API specifications are implemented in three different code-based systems, Ninf-G [Ninf-G], GridSolve [GridSolve] and DIET [DIET], which are applied to the interoperability test.

1.1 Ninf-G

Ninf-G (<http://ninf.apgrid.org/>) provides the GridRPC API on top of the Globus Toolkit [Globus]. The Globus toolkit provides a reference implementation of standard (or subject to proposed standardization) protocols and APIs for Grid computing. Globus serves as a solid and common platform for implementing higher-level middleware and programming tools, etc., ensuring interoperability amongst such high level components, one of which is Ninf-G. Ninf-G is maintained by the Ninf project, which consists of National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), and several universities in Japan.

1.2 GridSolve

GridSolve (<http://icl.cs.utk.edu/gridsolve/>) is a client-server system which provides remote access to computational resource, both hardware and software. It is built upon standard Internet protocols, like TCP/IP sockets. GridSolve provides the GridRPC API as C interface, and another API as Matlab interface. GridSolve is maintained by University of Tennessee in the United States.

1.3 DIET

DIET (<http://graal.ens-lyon.fr/DIET>) is a toolbox to develop a set of tools to build computational servers and clients. Based on client-server system which provides remote access to computational resource, both hardware and software as GridSolve. It is built upon CORBA

communication protocols. DIET provides the GridRPC API as C interface. DIET is maintained by the GRAAL INRIA project in École Normale Supérieure Lyon in France.

2. Proposed Process

The aim of the process is to test for client interoperability. A set of test programs that use the GridRPC APIs is tried to be compiled with each GridRPC library, executed on each GridRPC system, and checked if it behaved the same. Note it is out of the specification that the test program linked to a particular client library communicates with different server side implementations but it is unrealistic that a GridRPC implementation does not support the feature.

1. Define test cases that can be used as the basis of interoperability testing. These cases will include checks of the API function and its error code, in non-error and error situation.
2. Implement the test cases.
3. Compile the test programs (test suites), checking the program in client-side linked with each GridRPC library without any modification.
4. Execute the test programs, checking the test passed in each case.
5. Document the test cases and the results.

The above test cases have been prepared so that they cover all functions of the API in the specification. In other words, again, this is a conformance test of the GridRPC End-User API. When the all test cases succeeded on a GridRPC implementation, the implementation is "GridRPC (GFD-R.52) compliant."

3. Testing

The Ninf-G version 4.2.0 that was released on October 10, 2006, and the GridSolve version 0.15.1 that was released on September 29, 2006, and DIET version 2.1 that was released in September 2006 were used for this interoperability test. Test suites shown in Appendix B were used for this test. Because GFD-R.52 only defines C language API, the test was only applied to C bindings of each implementation.

3.1 Initializing and Finalizing Functions

The following functions for initialization and finalization of the GridRPC system are tested.

```
grpc_error_t grpc_initialize(char *config_file_name)
grpc_error_t grpc_finalize(void)
```

3.1.1 Notes for Results

There is no notion about necessity of the configuration file and also inside of the file, in Section 4.2 of GFD-R.52. The configuration file is independent from the GridRPC API specification. The error codes, GRPC_CONFIGFILE_NOT_FOUND and GRPC_CONFIGFILE_ERROR, are optional. Ninf-G and DIET require a configuration file but GridSolve does not it.

3.1.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_initialize()` with a correct configuration file, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.
2. Call `grpc_initialize()` with a correct configuration file twice, checking `GRPC_ALREADY_INITIALIZED` returned.
3. Call `grpc_finalize()` in right way, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.
4. Call `grpc_finalize()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.

3.2 Remote Function Handle Management Functions

The following functions for creating and destructing the function handle are tested.

```

grpc_error_t grpc_function_handle_default(
    grpc_function_handle_t *handle,
    char *func_name)
grpc_error_t grpc_function_handle_init(
    grpc_function_handle_t *handle,
    char *server_name,
    char *func_name)
grpc_error_t grpc_function_handle_destruct(grpc_function_handle_t *handle)
grpc_error_t grpc_get_handle(
    grpc_function_handle_t **handle,
    grpc_sessionid_t sessionID)

```

3.2.1 Notes for Results

The error codes, GRPC_FUNCTION_NOT_FOUND and GRPC_SERVER_NOT_FOUND, are optional because the GFD-R.52 defines both error codes in the remote function handle management functions (in Section 4.3 of GFD-R.52) and in the GridRPC call functions (in Section 4.4 of GFD-R.52). Ninf-G and DIET return those error codes in the remote function handle management functions, and GridSolve returns them in the GridRPC call functions.

3.2.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_function_handle_default()` with an available function name, checking GRPC_NO_ERROR returned with a pointer of the initialized function handle.
2. Call `grpc_function_handle_default()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED returned.
3. Call `grpc_function_handle_init()` with an available set of the function name and the server name, checking GRPC_NO_ERROR returned with a pointer of the initialized function handle.
4. Call `grpc_function_handle_init()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED returned.
5. Call `grpc_function_handle_destruct()` in right way, checking GRPC_NO_ERROR returned.
6. Call `grpc_function_handle_destruct()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED returned.
7. Call `grpc_get_handle()` with a valid session ID, checking GRPC_NO_ERROR returned with a pointer of the function handle specified by ID.
8. Call `grpc_get_handle()` with an invalid session ID, checking GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID returned.
9. Call `grpc_get_handle()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED returned.

3.3 GridRPC Call Functions

The following functions for invoking the RPC are tested.

```

grpc_error_t grpc_call(
    grpc_function_handle_t *handle,
    <varargs>)
grpc_error_t grpc_call_async(
    grpc_function_handle_t *handle,
    grpc_sessionid_t *sessionID,
    <varargs>)

```

3.3.1 Notes for Results

The error codes, `GRPC_FUNCTION_NOT_FOUND` and `GRPC_SERVER_NOT_FOUND`, are optional. Ninf-G and DIET return those error codes in the remote function handle management functions, and GridSolve returns them in the GridRPC call functions. Moreover, it's not usual but if the service disappears between the remote function handle and the GridRPC call functions, DIET returns a `GRPC_FUNCTION_NOT_FOUND` from the call function, too.

3.3.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_call()` with an initialized handle and valid arguments, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned with correct output arguments.
2. Call `grpc_call()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
3. Call `grpc_call_async()` with an initialized handle and valid arguments, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned with a valid session ID.
4. Call `grpc_call_async()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.

3.4 Wait Functions

The following function for waiting for previously submitted non-blocking requests.

```

grpc_error_t grpc_wait(grpc_sessionid_t sessionID)
grpc_error_t grpc_wait_and(
    grpc_sessionid_t *idArray,
    size_t length)
grpc_error_t grpc_wait_or(
    grpc_sessionid_t *idArray,
    size_t length,
    grpc_sessionid_t *idPtr)
grpc_error_t grpc_wait_all(void)
grpc_error_t grpc_wait_any(grpc_sessionid_t *idPtr)

```

3.4.1 Notes for Results

There is no difference for the test results among each GridRPC implementation.

3.4.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_wait()` with a valid session ID to be synchronized, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.
2. Call `grpc_wait()` with an invalid session ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
3. Call `grpc_wait()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
4. Call `grpc_wait_and()` with an array of the valid session IDs, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.
5. Call `grpc_wait_and()` with a session ID array which contains at least one invalid ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
6. Call `grpc_wait_and()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
7. Call `grpc_wait_or()` with a valid session ID array, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned with a pointer of the completed session ID.
8. Call `grpc_wait_or()` with a session ID array which contains at least one invalid ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
9. Call `grpc_wait_or()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
10. Call `grpc_wait_all()` in right way, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.

11. Call `grpc_wait_all()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
12. Call `grpc_wait_any()` in right way, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned with an ID pointer of the session which is completed.
13. Call `grpc_wait_any()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.

3.5 Probe Functions

The following functions for checking whether previously submitted non-blocking requests are completed are tested.

```
grpc_error_t grpc_probe(grpc_sessionid_t sessionID)
grpc_error_t grpc_probe_or(
    grpc_sessionid_t *idArray,
    size_t length,
    grpc_sessionid_t *idPtr)
```

3.5.1 Notes for Results

There is no difference for the test results among each GridRPC implementation.

3.5.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_probe()` with a session ID which is completed, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.
2. Call `grpc_probe()` with a session ID which is not completed, checking `GRPC_NOT_COMPLETED` returned.
3. Call `grpc_probe()` with an invalid session ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
4. Call `grpc_probe()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
5. Call `grpc_probe_or()` with a session ID array which contains at least one completed session, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned with a pointer of the probed session ID.
6. Call `grpc_probe_or()` with a session ID array which does not contain any of completed sessions, checking `GRPC_NONE_COMPLETED` returned with an invalid session ID.
7. Call `grpc_probe_or()` with a session ID array which contains an invalid session ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
8. Call `grpc_probe_or()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.

3.6 Cancel Functions

The following functions for canceling previously submitted non-blocking requests are tested.

```
grpc_error_t grpc_cancel(grpc_sessionid_t sessionID)
grpc_error_t grpc_cancel_all(void)
```

3.6.1 Notes for Results

There is no difference for the test results among each GridRPC implementation.

3.6.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_cancel()` with a valid session ID, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned after the specified session is canceled.
2. Call `grpc_cancel()` with an invalid session ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
3. Call `grpc_cancel()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.
4. Call `grpc_cancel_all()` in right way, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned after all of the executing sessions are canceled.
5. Call `grpc_cancel_all()` before calling `grpc_initialize()`, checking `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED` returned.

3.7 Error Reporting Functions

The following functions for reporting an error are tested.

```
char* grpc_error_string(grpc_error_t error_code)
grpc_error_t grpc_get_error(grpc_sessionid_t sessionID)
grpc_error_t grpc_get_failed_sessionid(grpc_sessionid_t *idPtr)
```

3.7.1 Notes for Results

The error code, `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED`, is not defined in the error reporting functions, in Section 4.7 of GFD-R.52. When the functions are called before `grpc_initialize()` is called, however, some GridRPC implementations might return `GRPC_NOT_INITIALIZED`.

3.7.2 Test Cases

1. Call `grpc_error_string()` with a defined error code, checking the corresponded error string returned.
2. Call `grpc_error_string()` with a non-defined error code, checking the string which means "GRPC_UNKNOWN_ERROR_CODE" returned.
3. Call `grpc_get_error()` with a valid session ID, checking the error code associated with the given session returned.
4. Call `grpc_get_error()` with an invalid session ID, checking `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` returned.
5. Call `grpc_get_failed_sessionid()` when there is no failed session, checking `GRPC_NO_ERROR` returned.
6. Call `grpc_get_failed_sessionid()` when there is one failed session, checking a pointer of that session ID returned as `idPtr`.
7. Call `grpc_get_failed_sessionid()` repeatedly when there are more than two failed sessions, checking a pointer of that session ID returned one by one, until all of them are popped out.

4. Security Considerations

There is no notion on security consideration, which is explicitly described in Section 6 of GFD-R.52. Each implementation has a different security model underneath the GridRPC API. For instance, security infrastructure of Ninf-G is based on GSI which is based on public key encryption, X.509 certificates, and the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) communication protocol. This means that not only all the components are protected properly, but they can also utilize other Globus components, such as GridFTP servers, seamlessly and securely.

No security mechanism is implemented in the GridSolve version 0.15. There is a pre-existing system of GridSolve, which is called NetSolve. The security of the NetSolve version 2.0 is based on the ability to generate access control lists that are used to grant and deny access to the NetSolve servers. NetSolve uses Kerberos V5 services for authentication. The Kerberos extensions of NetSolve provide it with trusted mechanisms by which to control access to computational resources. At this time, the Kerberized version of NetSolve performs no encryption of the data exchanged among NetSolve clients, servers, or agents, nor is there any integrity protection for the data stream.

No security mechanism is implemented in DIET 2.x at this time. In the past, users using DIET and who require security have used a network solution (VPN). Another solution can be built on security policies available into CORBA ORB implementation. When the security designed in CORBA specification will be available in omniORB (CORBA ORB) then DIET will use this security.

5. Conclusion

There are several minor differences among Ninf-G, GridSolve and DIET. The differences come from the basic design of each implementation. When users start to use one of implementations or move from another implementation to the other, they usually learn features of each GridRPC system. The differences are not beyond of this kind of learning but understandable. In addition, three behaviors are not defined in the specification. The detailed information is described in Appendix A. All of the undefinitions are not critical and should be defined in the future, on the basis of more use cases. Except those differences and undefinitions, all test cases passed in Ninf-G, GridSolve and DIET, which means the interoperability of the client code was confirmed. Therefore, the GridRPC API specification (GFD-52) will be useful for people, who would like to write their program on the GridRPC framework or develop a GridRPC system.

6. Contributors

This document is the result of the joint efforts of many contributors. The Authors listed on the title page are those committed to taking permanent stewardship for this document – receiving communication in the future and otherwise being responsive to its content.

Yusuke Tanimura,
National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST),
1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba Central 2,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki,
Japan.

Keith Seymour,
University of Tennessee,
1122 Volunteer Blvd, Suite 413 Claxton,
Knoxville, Tennessee,
USA.

Eddy Caron,
ENS-Lyon / LIP / INRIA,
46 allée d'Italie,
69364 Lyon,
France.

Abdelkader Amar,
ENS-Lyon / LIP / INRIA,
46 allée d'Italie,
69364 Lyon,
France.

Hidemoto Nakada,
National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST),
1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba Central 2,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki,
Japan.

Yoshio Tanaka,
National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST),
1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba Central 2,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki,
Japan.

Frédéric Desprez,
ENS-Lyon / LIP / INRIA,
46 allée d'Italie,
69364 Lyon,
France.

In addition, the authors would like to thank all contributors from OGF's GridRPC working group attendees and developers of Ninf-G, GridSolve and DIET.

7. Intellectual Property Statement

The OGF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the OGF Secretariat.

The OGF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this recommendation. Please address the information to the OGF Executive Director.

8. Disclaimer

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "As Is" basis and the OGF disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to any warranty that the use of the information herein will not infringe any rights or any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.

9. Full Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) Open Grid Forum (2006-2007). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the OGF or other organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Grid Recommendations in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OGF Document process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the OGF or its successors or assignees.

10. References

- [GFD.1] C. Catlett, GGF Document Series, Global Grid Forum Document GFD.1 (<http://www.gridforum.org/documents/GFD.1.pdf>).
- [GFD-R.52] H. Nakada, S. Matsuoka, K. Seymour, J. Dongarra, C. Lee, and H. Casanova, A GridRPC Model and API for End-User Applications, Global Grid Forum Document GFD-R.52.
- [GridRPC] Keith Seymour, H.Nakada, S. Matsuoka, Jack Dongarra, Craig Lee, Henri Casanova, "Overview of GridRPC: A Remote Procedure Call API for Grid Computing", (GRID COMPUTING - GRID 2002, LNCS 2536), pp274-278, November, 2002.
- [Ninf-G] Y.Tanaka, H.Takemiya, H.Nakada, S.Sekiguchi, "Design, Implementation and Performance Evaluation of GridRPC Programming Middleware for a Large-scale Computational Grid", International Workshop on Grid Computing, November, 2004.
- [GridSolve] S. Agrawal, J. Dongarra, K. Sagi, K. Seymour, A. YarKhan, "Users' Guide to GridSolve Version 0.14", ICL, University of Tennessee, March, 2006.
- [DIET] E. Caron and F. Desprez, "DIET: A Scalable Toolbox to Build Network Enabled Servers on the Grid", International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications, 2006.
- [Globus] I. Foster and C. Kesselman, "Globus: A Metacomputing Infrastructure Toolkit", International Journal of Supercomputer Applications, 1997.

Appendix A: Undefined behaviors

This section describes undefined behaviors found through the interoperability test. All the undefined behaviors are implementation dependent and not included in the requirements for being GridRPC compliant. Rather, they should not be strictly defined in the current specification until use cases enough for defining them will be obtained. They leave the GridRPC system developers free to implement and should be clearly defined in the future version of the specification. The following information is provided for application developers and users to show possible differences between GridRPC implementations.

A.1 Lifetime of the session ID

In Section 4.1 of the GFD-R.52 document, lifetime of the session ID is described below.

Session IDs are also allocated by the user but their lifetime is determined automatically. A session ID is initialized when a non-blocking GridRPC call is made. It is invalidated, or destroyed, when (1) all return arguments have been received, and (2) a wait function has returned a "call complete" status to the application. If an invalid session ID is passed to any GridRPC call, an error will result.

Because lifetime of the failed session ID is not defined, the lifetime is handled differently among each GridRPC implementation. In Ninf-G, the session ID will be valid for a certain period if the `save_sessionInfo` parameter is set to more than 0. The value of `save_sessionInfo` indicates a rotation of the session IDs. If the session ID is valid, the probe functions and the error reporting functions will return the session information after the session is completed or canceled. The wait functions will return the specific error code after the session is canceled. If `save_sessionInfo` is set to 0, the session ID is invalid after the session is completed, canceled or aborted by the error.

In GridSolve, the session ID becomes invalid immediately after the session is completed at the wait functions or canceled at the cancel functions. The probe functions, the error reporting functions and the wait functions will just return `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` after the session is completed or canceled. On the other hand, the failed session ID is saved in the library. The error reporting function returns the specific error code after the session is aborted by the error.

In DIET, the session ID becomes invalid immediately after the session is canceled at the cancel functions or after the `grpc_finalize()` function. The probe functions, the error reporting functions and the wait functions will just return `GRPC_INVALID_SESSION_ID` after the session is canceled. If a session fails, the session ID will be still valid and the error code can be retrieved by `grpc_get_error()` with the session ID.

Certainly, this undefinition is expected to be resolved in the future version of the specification according to the use cases. The issue may be not only related to the error session. The session information might be useful for statistics of the GridRPC calls in the application layer or might not be necessary for applications.

A.2 Destruction of the function handle

Destruction procedure of the function handle that has a running session is not strictly defined in the specification. The related description in Section 4.3 of the GFD-R.52 document is below.

This releases all information and resources associated with the specified function handle. It also cancels a running session bound to the handle, if exists, before releasing the handle itself.

The undefined behavior is whether the GridRPC implementation needs to confirm cleanup on the server side is completed or not. The Ninf-G users can specify the `job_stopTimeout` variable in the client configuration file. If the value is positive, the timeout will occur after the given seconds. In addition, Ninf-G will show a warning message if the function handle to be destructed has a

running session. GridSolve and DIET do not confirm completeness of cleanup on the server side and no warning message is shown to users.

There is a similar issue in `grpc_finalize()`. In Ninf-G and DIET, `grpc_finalize()` invokes a cancellation request to the server but it returns immediately without waiting for completeness of any cancellation. In GridSolve, `grpc_finalize()` does not send a cancellation request to the server explicitly.

A.3 Initialization of the function handle

In Section 4.3 of GFD-R.52, there is a following advice to implementors for initialization of the function handle.

The exact form of the server name string is not specified. One common possibility is a string of the form `host_name:port_number`. Another possibility is a string in some resource specification language.

All the three implementations accept hostname as `server_name` argument. When an invalid hostname is specified in the argument of `grpc_function_handle_init()`, different error codes will be returned. In Ninf-G, the error code is always `GRPC_OTHER_ERROR_CODE`. In GridSolve, `GRPC_OTHER_ERROR_CODE` will be returned if the hostname is not resolved. If the hostname is resolved, the error code will be `GRPC_NO_ERROR` and another error such as `GRPC_RPC_REFUSED` will be returned at the GridRPC call functions. In DIET the hostname is fake information, because the aim of the DIET scheduler is to find the best server and this server is linked to the GridRPC hostname.

Appendix B: Test suites

This interoperability test suites are available online at <https://forge.gridforum.org/sf/docman/do/downloadDocument/projects.gridrpc-wg/docman.root.interoperabilitytest/doc8591/1>.